



## Ultracold neutron storage lifetime measurements for a prototype cell for the TUCAN EDM experiment



Sean Vanbergen

PhD Candidate, University of British Columbia and TRIUMF

nEDM 2023

### **TUCAN EDM Cell Specifications**

- Double cell (eventually)
- 50 cm inner diameter
- 13-16 cm electrode separation
- Compatible with 200 kV on central electrode
- 8.5 cm diameter guide entrances through ground electrodes
- Sufficiently non-magnetic parts to achieve systematic sensitivity
- Geometry and surface coatings compatible with achieving statistical sensitivity



#### **Surface Parameter Requirements**

- Experiment optimization shows that coating quality impacts measurement time significantly
  - S. Sidhu's talk on statistical optimization
- Increase of W from 0.032 to 0.064 neV adds ~100 days to our measurement time
- No DLC electrode coatings yet, so we are testing with NiP
  - See A. Zahra's and R. Mammei's talks on DLC coatings



#### **TUCAN EDM Cell Prototype**

- Major UCN components only
  - Not HV compatible
  - No co-magnetometer windows or gas valve
  - No other interfaces to other systems
- 50 cm ID, 13 cm electrode separation
- NiP coatings on aluminium "electrode" plates
- NiP coating on UCN valve
- Deuterated-polystyrene coating on Rexolite (cross-linked polystyrene) insulators





## **TUCAN EDM Cell Valve**

- Custom-built pneumatically-actuated UCN gate valve
- Integrated into the ground electrodes
- In open position: 2x 0.2mm slits
- Internal gate order of ~100 (mostly nonmagnetic – some to be replaced) parts





#### **Deuterated-Plastic Coating Facility**

- "Rotational coating" of large insulator rings with deuterated plastic coatings (5-10 um)
- dPS coating on full-scale ring achieved early 2022
- dPE coatings have been successful at small-scale (10.16 cm ID) but not at fullscale





#### **Storage Lifetime Measurement at J-PARC MLF BL05**



BL05: Neutron Optics and Fundamental Physics

#### **Purpose**

Characterize whether the cell prototype performs at the desired level for TUCAN EDM



### **J-PARC MLF BL05 Facility**

- J-PARC MLF: home to one of the two most powerful spallation sources in the world (together with Oakridge's SNS)
- BL05: cold neutron beamline equipped with Doppler-shifting turbine
- Top port and side port (out of page)
- Al protection foils
- ~90 VCN/UCN per second measured with a detector connected to side port



#### **Experiment Layout**

- 1. UCN are filled into storage volume from Doppler shifter
- 2. UCN are stored for a variable time *t*
- 3. UCN are emptied into the detector
- 4. Resulting N vs t data yields a lifetime  $\tau$  when fit to a decay function



- To understand these measurements in terms of our future EDM experiment, we are interested in the material parameters W & U, not the raw lifetime  $\tau$ .
- Fit the data to an analytical formulation for storage lifetimes (previously used by W. Schreyer to analyze our NiP guides: <u>10.1016/j.nima.2023.168106</u>)

- To understand these measurements in terms of our future EDM experiment, we are interested in the material parameters W & U, not the raw lifetime  $\tau$ .
- Fit the data to an analytical formulation for storage lifetimes
- Number of UCN *N*(*t*) after time *t* is



- To understand these measurements in terms of our future EDM experiment, we are interested in the material parameters W & U, not the raw lifetime  $\tau$ .
- Fit the data to an analytical formulation for storage lifetimes
- Number of UCN N(t) after time t is

$$N(t) = \int_{H_{min}}^{H_{max}} \frac{dN}{dH} \exp[-t\tau^{-1}(H)] \, dH$$



- To understand these measurements in terms of our future EDM experiment, we are interested in the material parameters W & U, not the raw lifetime τ.
- Fit the data to an analytical formulation for storage lifetimes
- Number of UCN N(t) after time t is

$$N(t) = \int_{H_{min}}^{H_{max}} \frac{dN}{dH} \exp[-t\tau^{-1}(H)] \, dH$$

• Lifetime depends on total energy H as  $\tau^{-1}(H) = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2H}{m}}}{4\gamma(H)} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{H - mgz}{H} \sum \frac{dA_{i}}{dz} \mu_{i}(H - mgz)dz + \tau_{\beta}^{-1}$   $\gamma(H) = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{dV}{dz} \sqrt{\frac{H - mgz}{H}} dz$ Surface material parameters  $\mu_{i}$  is the energy-dependent loss probability  $\mu_{i}(E) = 2 \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left(1 - \left|\frac{\sqrt{E\cos^{2}(\theta)} - \sqrt{E\cos^{2}(\theta)} - U + iW}{\sqrt{E\cos^{2}(\theta)} + \sqrt{E\cos^{2}(\theta)} - U + iW}\right|\right) \cos \theta \sin \theta \, d\theta$ 

#### **Doppler-Shifter Energy Spectrum**

- Need to know  $\frac{dN}{dH}$
- Doppler-shifter energy spectrum has only been measured from TOF





 Lack knowledge about the initial angular distribution

#### **Doppler-Shifter Energy Spectrum**

- Search for initial energy spectra that can reproduce the TOF
- Fit PENTrack simulations of TOF measurement to obtain initial energy spectra, for different:
  - Guide properties: imaginary Fermi potential, diffuse reflection probability
  - Foil properties: real Fermi potential
  - Angular distributions: collimated beam vs uniform distribution
  - Fitting functions: Gaussian, skewed gaussian, Weibull



#### **Doppler-Shifter Energy Spectrum**

- Search for initial energy spectra that can reproduce the TOF
- Fit PENTrack simulations of TOF measurement to obtain initial energy spectra, for different:
  - Guide properties: imaginary Fermi potential, diffuse reflection probability
  - Foil properties: real Fermi potential
  - Angular distributions: collimated beam vs uniform distribution
  - Fitting functions: Gaussian, skewed gaussian, Weibull
- Propagate initial energy spectra to filled energy spectra using further simulations
- Use this range of possible filled energy spectra to analyze the lifetime data



#### **Storage Lifetime Results: NiP/NiP Cell**

- Baseline: cell with NiP-coated ring
   instead of plastic ring
- Assumed  $U_{\rm NiP} = 213 \text{ neV}$
- Three separate measurements
  - 1. 2022 Pre-baking  $\tau = 68.9 \pm 2.7 \text{ s}$ W = (0.17, 0.26) neV
  - 2. 2022 Post-baking  $\tau = 58.2 \pm 1.7 \text{ s}$ W = (0.22, 0.34) neV
  - 3. 2023 No baking  $\tau = 138.4 \pm 6.4 \text{ s},$ W = (0.059, 0.089) neV
- Final result is similar to our UCN production volume (but not as good as our best guides)



#### **Contamination of the Cell in 2022**

- Baking the cell caused some unidentified liquid to appear in the guides.
- Hypothesis: low lifetime in 2022 was due to a high level of surface contamination from some source.
- Between 2022 and 2023 we re-cleaned every part in the cell and valve and replaced Buna-N O-rings with baked Viton O-rings.
- We did not definitively identify the source of the contamination.



#### **Storage Lifetime Results: NiP/dPS Cell**

- dPS ring appears to outgas a lot
- Cell has no pumping connection when closed
- Effect can be removed:
  - 1. Intentionally vary pressure by reducing pumping power
  - 2. Determine pressure inside cell as a function of pressure outside cell
  - 3. Treat counts as a function of pressure and storage time

$$N(P_f, P, t) = R\left(\frac{1}{\tau_0} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{hole}}} + \alpha P_f\right)^{-1} \left(1 - e^{-60\left(\frac{1}{\tau_0} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{hole}}} + \alpha P_i\right)}\right) e^{-t\left(\frac{1}{\tau_0} + \alpha P\right)}$$
Average pressure during measurement
Correction for valve being open
Pressure during filling



Without pressure compensation:  $119.0 \pm 3.8$  s True wall lifetime:  $129.1 \pm 4.6$  s

19

#### **Storage Lifetime Results: NiP/dPS Cell**

- Gray-shaded regions: analysis of NiP/dPS cell assuming full range of NiP-lifetimes from baseline
  - Red outline: 2022
  - Green outline: 2023
- Assumed  $U_{dPS} = 161 \text{ neV}$
- Two measurements
  - 1. 2022 (Post-NiP-baking)  $\tau = 84.5 \pm 1.5 \text{ s}$ W = (0.050, 0.079) neV
  - 2. 2023 No baking  $\tau = 129.1 \pm 4.6 \text{ s},$ W = (0.045, 0.069) neV
- Results both agree, and are on the higher side of our simulated range (0.032, 0.064)



#### **Summary**

- Built a prototype EDM cell to test UCN valve and coatings for the TUCAN EDM experiment
- Had some challenges with cleanliness of the cell
- Results are not ideal, but suitable for achieving the TUCAN EDM target sensitivity according to optimization simulations

# Thank you!

#### **Beamtime Participants**

H. Akatsuka, A. Brossard, T. Higuchi, I. Ide, S. Imajo, S. Kawasaki, J. W. Martin, K. Mishima, R. Picker, I. Press, S. Vanbergen









Sean Vanbergen



